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Research Background

- Electronic Health Records (EHR) are an essential source of real-world health information for several purposes.

- Information in EHRs is often recorded in an **unstructured format**, which poses challenges to using it for computational purposes.

- Therefore, an effective means of **connecting the ordinary terms found in EHRs** with standard medical terminologies could improve IR processes.

- One option is to map the EHR's terms to **standardized terminologies**.
Research Background

Our investigation draws on a study by Schulz et al. (2017), who analyze terminology standardization and propose a methodology to connect three types of clinical terminologies:

1. *Interface terminologies*: namely, medical chart text or medical jargon (EHR);
2. *Reference terminologies*: which are controlled vocabularies and ontologies;
3. *Aggregation terminologies*: which include the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms (SNOMED-CT) and others.
Proposal

✓ The gap posed by Schulz et al. (2017) requires finding a way to connect the clinical data in an EHR's clinical texts to standardized clinical terminologies.

✓ As its principal contribution, our research verified medical jargon terms that do not correspond to OntONeo Ontology (reference terminology) and verified medical jargon terms that do not correspond to ICD-10 (agregation terminology).
Clinical terminologies

Obstetric and Neonatal Ontology

The Obstetric and Neonatal Ontology is a structured controlled vocabulary to provide a representation of the data from electronic health records (EHRs) involved in the care of the pregnant woman, and of her baby.

The development of OntOneo is following the OBO Foundry principles, which aims to develop a set of interoperable ontologies for representation of biological and biomedical reality.

We employed Basic Formal Ontology (BFO) version 2.0 as top-level ontology of OntOneo, which is a large acceptance and use in medical and biological domains.

https://ontoneo.com/

https://www.medicinanet.com.br/cid10.htm

https://decs.bvsalud.org/
Methodology

• We applied natural language processing (NLP) techniques and ontologies specifically OntONeo.

• **NLP** to extract and analyze **signs and symptoms** from clinical texts.

• The information extraction was performed in a large private hospital, which provided a sample of **32,291** real EHRs containing medical notes in free text.

• Notes cover the **evolution and medical history of patients (anonymized)** from the gynecology department during the year 2018.

• Ethical approval was by the local committee!
Methodology

Analysis of the comparison of the extracted data with the ABNT ISO/TR 12300 standard: Health informatics - principles of mapping between terminology systems.

Validation / specialist - Gynecologist

Final product terminology mapping

Reference Terminology/Ontologies

OntOne
Osteoporosis and Neurological Ontology
The steps for mapping

1) Document the mapping process between clinical terminologies.

2) Verify the semantic equivalence between terms.

3) Utilize a source mapping for terms with **multiple synonyms**.

4) Analyze risk factors and document ways to ensure consistency in mapping.

5) Clarify the meaning and fully use the form for abbreviations in the interface terminology.

6) Map the target terms of the reference terminology selected from **(DeCS) and (MeSH)**, and **OntONeo**.

7) Create a mapping table to demonstrate the types of interoperability verification:
   - one term for one
   - one term for many terms
   - many terms for one term
   - many terms for many terms
   - do not interoperate.
## Table of Mapping of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mapping</th>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Final decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interoperate one term for one</strong></td>
<td>A single source class is linked to a single target class or term</td>
<td>Retain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interoperate one term for many terms</strong></td>
<td>A single source class is linked to multiple target classes or terms</td>
<td>Define a class according to basic formal ontology (BFO) and choose term that poses no clinical risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interoperate many terms for one term</strong></td>
<td>Multiple source classes are linked to a single target class or term</td>
<td>Define a class according to BFO and choose term that poses no clinical risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interoperate many terms for many terms</strong></td>
<td>Multiple source classes are linked to multiple target classes or terms</td>
<td>Define a class according to BFO and choose a term that poses no clinical risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Figure 1: Word Cloud of Most Frequent Signs and Symptoms.
Examples of correlated terms found compared with signs and symptoms of OntoNeo, DeCS/MeSH, and ICD-10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EHRs</th>
<th>OntONeo</th>
<th>DeCS/MeSH</th>
<th>ICD-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irregular menstrual cycle</td>
<td>- Process - biological_process - reproductive process - single organism reproductive process - ovulation cycle - menstrual cycle - Quality - Phenotypic abnormality - Abnormal genital system morphology - Abnormality of the menstrual cycle</td>
<td>Menstrual cycle</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itching</td>
<td>- Quality - information carrier - sintoma - nervous system symptom - sensation perception - pain</td>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>L29.0 Pruritus ani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L29.2 Pruritus vulvae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L29.3 Anogenital pruritus, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L29.8 Other pruritus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L29.9 Pruritus, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Itch NOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysmenorrhea</td>
<td>- Quality - information carrier - sintoma - nervous system symptom - sensation perception - pain</td>
<td>Dysmenorrhea</td>
<td>R10 Abdominal and pelvic pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R10.1 Pain localized to upper abdomen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful urination</td>
<td>- Quality - information carrier - sintoma - nervous system symptom - sensation perception - pain - renal colic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R30 Pain associated with micturition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The term "irregular menstrual cycle" is correlated to the OntoNeo Ontology and DeCS/MeSH terms but did not show a corresponding term in the ICD-10.
- The term "itching" is absent in the ontology.
- "Dysmenorrhea" is already included in the three terminologies.
### Mapping Interface Terminology Terms to the Reference Terminology (OntONeo)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interoperability</th>
<th>Signs and Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interoperate one term for one</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperate one term for many terms</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperate many terms for one term</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperate many terms for many terms</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-interoperable</strong></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Mapping Interface Terminology Terms to Aggregation Terminology (ICD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interoperability</th>
<th>Signs and Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interoperate one term for one</strong></td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperate one term for many terms</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperate many terms for one term</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interoperate many terms for many terms</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-interoperable</strong></td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limitations

✓ This research described some differences in syntax and semantics that posed obstacles to achieving interoperability between clinical terminologies.

✓ To reduce these differences, we propose using existing knowledge representation resources in the Information Science field and the assistance of Clinical Medical Librarians.

✓ We identified several issues with spelling, punctuation, and typographical errors in the analyzed text from EHR.
Final Considerations

✓ We modified the second step of the proposal by Schulz et al. (2017), instead of the reconciliation step between reference and aggregation terminologies, we mapped interface terminologies to aggregation terminologies.

✓ This modification was necessary because we focused on analyzing the mappings between interface terminology and clinical terminologies.

✓ The medical jargon (interface terminology) used in clinical practice proved to be different and distant from standardized terminologies such as ontologies (reference terminologies) and even from ICD-10 (aggregation terminology).

✓ A primary difficulty in analyzing the medical jargon used in interface terminology, namely, its epistemological aspects, which depend heavily on the medical context.

✓ Thus, ontology is an artifact that should be used in seeking a solution to this difficulty.
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